Classical density functional theory: Universal bounds and local density approximation

Peter Skovlund Madsen

Jointly w. Mathieu Lewin and Michal Jex

Mathematisches Institut, LMU

IST Austria, June 14, 2024

- Representability and bounds
- Thermodynamic limits
- The (grand-canonical) local density approximation
- Elements of the proof

The setting

We consider a system of identical classical particles in $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, interacting through a pair potential w. The (canonical) free energy at temperature $T \ge 0$ of N particles distributed according to a (symmetric) probability distribution \mathbb{P}_N on Λ^N is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{T}(\mathbb{P}_{N}) = \int_{\Lambda^{N}} \sum_{j < k}^{N} w(x_{j} - x_{k}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{N}(x) + T \underbrace{\int_{\Lambda^{N}} \log(N! \, \mathbb{P}_{N}(x)) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{N}(x)}_{=:-\mathcal{S}_{N}(\mathbb{P}_{N})}$$

The minimal free energy at fixed density ρ with $\int \rho = N$ is

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] = \inf_{\rho_{\mathbb{P}_{N}}=\rho} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{P}_{N}) = \inf_{\rho_{\mathbb{P}_{N}}=\rho} \left\{ \int_{\Lambda^{N}} \sum_{j < k}^{N} w(x_{j} - x_{k}) + T \log(N! \mathbb{P}_{N}(x)) d\mathbb{P}_{N}(x) \right\}.$$

In the grand-canonical setting, the distribution of the particles is described by a family $\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{P}_n)_{n\geq 0}$, where each \mathbb{P}_n is a symmetric measure on Λ^n , normalized such that $\sum_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{P}_n(\Lambda^n) = 1$. Minimal grand-canonical free energy at fixed density:

$$G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] = \inf_{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}=\rho} \bigg\{ \sum_{n\geq 0} \int_{\Lambda^n} \sum_{j< k}^n w(x_j - x_k) + \mathcal{T} \log(n! \mathbb{P}_n(x)) d\mathbb{P}_n(x) \bigg\},$$

where

$$\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \rho_{\mathbb{P}_n}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1} n \int_{\Lambda^{n-1}} \mathbb{P}_n(x, x_2, \dots, x_n) \, \mathrm{d} x_2 \cdots \, \mathrm{d} x_n.$$

For any external potential V, we have the two-step minimization:

$$G_{T}(V,\Lambda) = \inf_{\mathbb{P}} \left\{ \sum_{n\geq 0} \int_{\Lambda^{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} V(x_{j}) + \sum_{j
$$= \inf_{\rho} \left\{ \inf_{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}=\rho} \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \int_{\Lambda^{n}} \sum_{j
$$= \inf_{\rho} \left\{ G_{T}[\rho] + \int_{\Lambda} V\rho \right\}.$$$$$$

The inverse problem (Legendre-Fenchel duality): Given a density ρ , if one can find a potential V(x) such that

$$\rho(x_1) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{T}V(x_1)}}{Z_{T,V,\mathbb{R}^d}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(n-1)}} e^{-\frac{1}{T}\left(\sum_{j < k} w(x_j - x_k) + \sum_{j \ge 2} V(x_j)\right)} dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

then

$$G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] = G_{\mathcal{T}}(V, \mathbb{R}^d) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V \rho.$$

(Chayes and Chayes, 1984; Chayes, Chayes, and Lieb, 1984). Solved explicitly in the 1D hard-core case (Percus, 1976). Uniformly small densities (Jansen, Kuna, and Tsagkarogiannis, 2022).

Natural questions:

- Representability: Given a density ρ ∈ L¹(ℝ^d), when are G_T[ρ] and F_T[ρ] finite? Which densities arise as the one-particle density of some many-body state with finite energy?
- Can G_T[ρ] and F_T[ρ] be bounded in terms of ρ? Difficulty: Construction of states with densities exactly equal to a prescribed ρ ∈ L¹(ℝ^d).
- How can $G_T[\rho]$ and $F_T[\rho]$ be approximated in practice?

Initial observations:

• Any canonical state is also a grand-canonical state, so

$$G_T[\rho] \leq F_T[\rho]$$

whenever ρ has integer mass.

• If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho = N + t$ with $t \in (0, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write $\rho = (1 - t) \frac{N}{N+t} \rho + t \frac{N+1}{N+t} \rho$ and obtain after using the concavity of the entropy

$$G_T[\rho] \leq (1-t) F_T\left[\frac{N}{N+t}\rho\right] + t F_T\left[\frac{N+1}{N+t}
ho
ight].$$

• Weak interactions ($w \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$). Using an uncorrelated state $\mathbb{P} = (\rho/N)^{\otimes N}$ immediately gives

$$\begin{split} F_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] &\leq \frac{1 - 1/N}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} w(x - y) \rho(x) \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y + \mathcal{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho \\ &\leq \frac{\|w_+\|_{L^1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 + \mathcal{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho. \end{split}$$

The interaction potential

Assumption (A)

Let $w : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a lower semi-continuous and even function satisfying for some $\kappa > 0$: • w is *stable*, that is,

$$\sum_{\leq j < k \leq N} w(x_j - x_k) \geq -\kappa N$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$;

• w is upper and lower regular, that is, there exist $0 \le \alpha < \infty$ and s > d such that

1<

$$rac{\mathbbm{l}(|x|<1)}{\kappa|x|^lpha}-rac{\kappa}{1+|x|^s}\leq w(x)\leq rac{\kappa\mathbbm{l}(|x|<1)}{|x|^lpha}+rac{\kappa}{1+|x|^s}.$$

- α determines the repulsive strength of w near the origin. When $\alpha < d$, w is integrable on \mathbb{R}^d . When $\alpha \ge d$, w has a non-integrable singularity at the origin. In this case, for any state with finite energy, the particles cannot be too close to each other and must be heavily correlated.
- When $\int \rho |\log \rho| < \infty$, stability of *w* implies

$$G_T[
ho] \geq -\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}
ho - T \max_{
ho_{\mathbb{P}} =
ho} S(\mathbb{P}) = -(\kappa + T) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}
ho + T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}
ho \log
ho,$$

by taking \mathbb{P} to be the Poisson state $\mathbb{P} = \left(\frac{e^{-\int \rho}}{n!}\rho^{\otimes n}\right)_{n\geq 0}$.

Representability and bounds in one dimension

Theorem (d = 1)

Suppose $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$. Then for any density $0 \leq \rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho |\log \rho| < \infty$,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{T}}[\rho] &\leq \mathsf{C}\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^{2} + \mathsf{C}\mathsf{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho + \mathsf{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \log \rho \\ &+ \begin{cases} \mathsf{C}\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^{1+\alpha} & \text{for } \alpha > 1, \\ \mathsf{C}\kappa \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^{2} \big(\log \rho\big)_{+} \Big) & \text{for } \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Proof: Draw a one dimensional chess board.

Using the same approach in any dimension $d \ge 1$, cutting \mathbb{R}^d into slices $L_j \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, gives the following:

Corollary (Representability in any dimension)

For any density $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $T \int \rho |\log \rho| < \infty$, we have $G_T[\rho] < \infty$, and when $\int \rho \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $F_T[\rho] < \infty$.

If w is a hard-core potential, the question of representability is highly non-trivial, and classifying the set of representable densities in this case is an open problem.

Grand canonical bounds

Theorem (Jex-Lewin-M. 2023)

Suppose that $d \le \alpha < \infty$, and assume that $0 \le \rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies $T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho |\log \rho| < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{T}}[\rho] &\leq \mathsf{C}\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 + \mathsf{C}\mathsf{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho + \mathsf{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho \\ &+ \begin{cases} \mathsf{C}\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{1+\frac{\alpha}{d}} & \text{for } \alpha > d, \\ \mathsf{C}\kappa \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 (\log \rho)_+ \right) & \text{for } \alpha = d. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here the constant C depends only on the dimension d and the powers α , s.

Outline of proof:

- If $\int \rho \leq 1$, we take $\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{P}_n)$ defined by $\mathbb{P}_0 = 1 \int \rho$, $\mathbb{P}_1 = \rho$, $\mathbb{P}_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.
- Suppose ρ is compactly supported with $\int \rho > 1$, and fix c > 0 sufficiently small. For each $x \in \text{supp } \rho$, define $\ell(x)$ to be the largest number such that

$$\int_{x+\ell(x)\mathcal{C}}\rho(y)\,\mathrm{d} y=c,$$

where $C = (-1/2; 1/2)^d$ is the unit cube.

Lemma (Besicovitch covering with minimal distance (Frank, Laptev, and Weidl, 2022; Guzmán, 1975))

There exists a set of points $x_j^{(k)}$ with $1 \le k \le K \le 3^d (4^d + 1)$ and $1 \le j \le J_k < \infty$ such that

- the cubes $C(x_j^{(k)}) := x_j^{(k)} + \ell(x_j^{(k)})C$ cover the support of ρ and each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is in at most 2^d such cubes,
- for every k, the cubes $\left(\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}_{j}^{(k)})\right)_{1\leq j\leq J_{k}}$ in the kth collection satisfy

$$d\left(\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)}), \mathcal{C}(x_\ell^{(k)})\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\min\left\{\ell(x_j^{(k)}), \ell(x_\ell^{(k)})\right\}$$

• We obtain the following partition of unity:

$$\mathbbm{1}_{\operatorname{supp}\rho} = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \frac{\mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)}) \cap \operatorname{supp}\rho}}{\eta}, \qquad \mathbbm{1}_{\operatorname{supp}\rho} \leq \eta := \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^{J_k} \mathbbm{1}_{\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)})} \leq 2^d.$$

• Write ρ as a convex combination

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa} \left(\sum_{j} \rho_j^{(k)} \right), \qquad \rho_j^{(k)} := \frac{\kappa \rho \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)})}}{\eta},$$

where for c small,

$$\int \rho_j^{(k)} \leq 3^d (4^d + 1) \int_{\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)})} \rho = 3^d (4^d + 1) c \leq 1.$$

• Define a trial state by $\mathbb{P} := \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{P}^{(k)}$, where

$$\mathbb{P}^{(k)} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{J_k} \left(\left(1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}
ho_j^{(k)}
ight) \oplus
ho_j^{(k)} \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots
ight).$$

• Calculate the free energy, using $\frac{1}{\ell(x)^{\alpha}} \leq c^{-\frac{\alpha+d}{d}} \int_{\mathcal{C}(x)} \rho^{1+\frac{\alpha}{d}}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (exercise).

It is very difficult to control the mass contained in each collection $(\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)}))_{1 \le j \le J_k}$, making the approach unsuitable to use in the canonical case.

Canonical bounds

Theorem (Jex-Lewin-M. '23)

Suppose $d \leq \alpha < \infty$. Let $0 \leq \rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho |\log \rho| < \infty$. Then we have

$$egin{aligned} & \overline{E}_{T}[
ho] \leq C(\kappa+T)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}
ho^{2}+CT\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}
ho+T\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}
ho\log
ho+T\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}
ho\log R^{d} \ & + egin{cases} & C\kappa\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}
ho^{1+rac{lpha}{d}} & ext{for }lpha>d, \ & C\kappa\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}
ho^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}
ho^{2}ig(\log
hoig)_{+}ig) & ext{for }lpha=d, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant C only depends on the dimension d and the powers α , s.

When $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(y) \, dy > 1$, we define the local radius R(x), $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to be the largest number satisfying

$$\int_{B(x,R(x))}\rho(y)\,\mathrm{d} y=1.$$

We conjecture that the bound holds without the non-local term $\int \rho \log R^d$.

11 / 21

The local radius and optimal transport

- The function R is 1-Lipschitz continuous with $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} R(x) > 0$, and $R(x) \sim |x|$ as $|x| \to \infty$.
- Connection to the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function:

$$\frac{1}{|B_1|R(x)^d} = \frac{1}{|B_{R(x)}|} \int_{B(x,R(x))} \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \le \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B(x,r)} \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y =: M_\rho(x).$$

• Recall the Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality: $\|M_{\rho}\|_{p} \leq C_{d,p}\|\rho\|_{p}$ for p > 1.

Theorem (Optimal transport state (Colombo, Di Marino, and Stra, 2019))

Let $0 \leq \rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $N = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists an N-particle state \mathbb{P}_{OT} with density $\rho_{\mathbb{P}_{OT}} = \rho$ such that

$$|x_i - x_j| \ge \max\left(\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} R(x), rac{R(x_i) + R(x_j)}{3}
ight)$$
 for $1 \le i \ne j \le N$

 \mathbb{P}_{OT} -almost everywhere.

The state \mathbb{P}_{OT} emerges as the optimizer for a multi-marginal optimal transport problem. The proof is non-constructive and relies on Kantorovich duality. \mathbb{P}_{OT} is usually singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

The interaction energy of \mathbb{P}_{OT}

• Fix $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ in the support of \mathbb{P}_{OT} with $R(x_1) \leq R(x_2) \leq \cdots \leq R(x_N)$. Then

$$\sum_{j=i+1}^N \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{|B(0, \frac{1}{6}R(x_i))|} \int_{B(0, \frac{1}{3}R(x_i))^c} \frac{1}{|y|^\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}y = C \frac{1}{R(x_i)^\alpha}.$$

• Calculating the interaction energy in the state \mathbb{P}_{OT} , one finds (for $\alpha > d$)

$$\begin{split} F_{0}[\rho] &\leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{|x_{i} - x_{j}|^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{1 + |x_{i} - x_{j}|^{s}} \right) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{OT}(x) \\ &\leq C \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{R(x_{i})^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{R(x_{i})^{d}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{OT}(x) \\ &= C \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{\rho(x)}{R(x)^{\alpha}} + \frac{\rho(x)}{R(x)^{d}} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \widetilde{C} \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \rho(x)^{1 + \frac{\alpha}{d}} + \rho(x)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

• To handle positive temperature, \mathbb{P}_{OT} needs to be regularized. This can be done using the Besicovitch covering lemma and the block approximation (Carlier et al., 2017), which locally replaces \mathbb{P}_{OT} with a pure tensor, while keeping the density fixed.

The (grand-canonical) local density approximation

The minimal free energy $G_T[\rho]$ at density ρ is approximated using a local functional,

$$G_T[\rho] \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\rho(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

The function $f(\rho_0)$ is typically the free energy per unit volume of an infinite homogeneous system at density ρ_0 .

Previous results:

- Classical uniform electron gas with Coulomb interaction in 3D (Lewin, Lieb, and Seiringer, 2018).
- Quantum systems with Coulomb interactions in 3D (Lewin, Lieb, and Seiringer, 2020).
- Extension of the quantum case to a class of smooth short-range interactions (Mietzsch, 2020).

All previous results rely on the Graf-Schenker inequality/screening properties of the Coulomb potential. All exclusively 3D and grand-canonical.

Thermodynamic limits

Usual thermodynamic limit: For any $\rho_0 > 0$ and reasonable sequence of domains $\Lambda_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\Lambda_n \nearrow \mathbb{R}^d$, the thermodynamic limit exists,

$$f_T(
ho_{\mathbf{0}}) := \lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ n | \Lambda_n |^{-\mathbf{1}} \to
ho_{\mathbf{0}}}} \frac{F_T(n, \Lambda_n)}{|\Lambda_n|},$$

and is independent of the sequence Λ_n . Here, $F_T(n, \Lambda_n)$ is the minimal *canonical* free energy of an *n*-particle system in Λ_n , without restrictions on the density. The limit function f_T is known to be convex and C^1 (Ruelle, 1970, 1999).

Proposition (Jex-Lewin-M. 202?)

Let $\rho_0 > 0$. Suppose that $\Lambda_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a sequence of bounded connected domains with sufficiently regular boundaries, and such that $|\Lambda_n| \to \infty$. Then we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho_0\mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_n}]}{|\Lambda_n|}=f_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho_0).$$

Futhermore, if $\rho_0|\Lambda_n| \in \mathbb{N}$ for all n, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{F_T[\rho_0\mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_n}]}{|\Lambda_n|}=f_T(\rho_0).$$

Clearly, $F_T[\rho_0 \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_n}] \ge F_T(\rho_0 | \Lambda_n|, \Lambda_n)$. The lower bound on $G_T[\rho_0 \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda_n}]$ follows from Legendre duality (equivalence of ensembles). The upper bounds are proved by construction of a trial state in the spirit of the floating Wigner crystal.

Bounds on f_T

Proposition

Let w satisfy Assumption (A). There are constants C, c > 0 depending only on w and the dimension d, such that for any $\rho \ge 0$, we have

$$f_T(\rho) \leq \begin{cases} C\rho^{\max(2,1+\alpha/d)} + C(1+T)\rho + T\rho\log\rho, & \alpha \neq d, \\ C\rho^2(\log\rho)_+ + C(1+T)\rho + T\rho\log\rho, & \alpha = d, \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_{T}(\rho) \geq \begin{cases} c\rho^{\max(2,1+\alpha/d)} - (C+T)\rho + T\rho\log\rho, & \alpha \neq d, \\ c\rho^{2} (\log c\rho)_{+} - (C+T)\rho + T\rho\log\rho, & \alpha = d. \end{cases}$$

• Let M > 0, and consider $G_T[\rho]$ for the class of densities $0 \le \rho \in (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\|\rho\|_{\infty} \le M$. The universal upper bound simplifies

$$G_T[\rho] \leq C(M, T, w, d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho + T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho$$

• For any density $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\ell > 0$, we denote $C_{\ell} := [-\ell/2, \ell/2]^d$ is the cube of side length ℓ , and

$$\delta
ho_\ell(z) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x,y \in z+C_\ell} rac{|
ho(x) -
ho(y)|}{\ell}.$$

When $\rho = \rho_0 \mathbb{1}_{\Lambda}$, then $\delta \rho_{\ell} = 0$ everywhere, except at distance of order ℓ to the boundary $\partial \Lambda$, where it is bounded by ρ_0/ℓ .

The local density approximation

Theorem (Jex-Lewin-M. 202?)

Let
$$M > 0$$
, $T \ge 0$, $p \ge 1$, and $b > \begin{cases} 2 - \frac{1}{2p} & \text{if } p \ge 2, \\ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2p} & \text{if } 1 \le p < 2. \end{cases}$

Let w be a short-range interaction satisfying Assumption (A) with s > d + 1. There exists a constant C > 0 depending on M, T, w, d, p, b, such that

$$\left|G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\ell}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sqrt{\rho} + \ell^{bp} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \delta \rho_\ell(z)^p \, \mathrm{d}z\right),$$

for any $\ell > 0$, and any density $\rho \ge 0$ such that $\sqrt{\rho} \in (L^1 \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\|\rho\|_\infty \le M$.

- Bounds on G_T and f_T ensure that the left hand side is finite. Note that $\int \rho |\log \rho| \leq ||\sqrt{\rho} \log \rho||_{\infty} \int \sqrt{\rho}$.
- Valid for all densities satisfying the conditions, but only useful for slowly varying densities.
- The constant C increases exponentially with M, and with the growth rate α of w near the origin.
- One could expect a similar estimate to hold without the constraint on $\|\rho\|_\infty,$ where $\sqrt{\rho}$ is replaced by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho + \rho^{\max(2,1+\alpha/d)} + T\rho(\log \rho)_{-}.$$

When ρ has additional regularity, we have:

Corollary

Suppose, in addition to the assumptions from before, that p > d and $\nabla \rho \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then we have

$$G_{\mathcal{T}}[
ho] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{\mathcal{T}}(
ho(x)) \,\mathrm{d}x \bigg| \leq C \varepsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sqrt{
ho} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2bp}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |
abla
ho|^p
ight)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

This is a straightforward application of Morrey's inequality, which states for p > d that

$$|\rho(x)-\rho(y)|\leq K^{rac{1}{p}}|x-y|^{1-rac{d}{p}}\left(\int_{Q}|
abla
ho|^{p}
ight)^{rac{1}{p}},\qquad x,y\in Q,$$

for any cube Q.

Taking a smooth ρ and rescaling $\rho_N(x) := \rho(N^{-1/d}x)$ yields

$$G_{T}[\rho_{N}] = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f_{T}(\rho(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x + O\left(N^{1-\frac{1}{2bd}}\right)$$

for all b > 7/4.

Elements of the proof (lower bound)

• Recall the equivalence of ensembles for the usual thermodynamic limit,

$$f_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho_{0}) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}} (g_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu) + \mu \rho_{0}),$$

where $g_T(\mu)$ is the grand canonical energy per unit volume at chemical potential $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ for an infinite system.

• Introduce an external potential $V(x) = -\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mu_k(x) \mathbb{1}_{C_k}(x)$. Then

$$G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] + \int V\rho \geq \inf_{\mathbb{P}=(\mathbb{P}_n)} \Big\{ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{P}) + \sum_{n\geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dn}} \sum_{i=1}^n V(x_i) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_n(x) \Big\} = G_{\mathcal{T}}(V, \mathbb{R}^d).$$

The minimizer for the right hand side is a Gibbs state \mathbb{P}_V .

• Localize into cubes,

$$G_{\mathcal{T}}(V,\mathbb{R}^d)\geq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}G_{\mathcal{T}}(-\mu_k,C_k)+\sum_{\substack{k,m\in\mathbb{Z}^d\k
eq m}}\langle I_{k,m}
angle_{\mathbb{P}_V},$$

where $\langle I_{k,m} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}_V} = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{C_k \times C_m} w(x-y) \rho_{\mathbb{P}_V}^{(2)}(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y.$

- If μ_k is constant in C_k , then $G_T(-\mu_k, C_k) \approx \ell^d g_T(\mu_k)$. Choose μ_k to satisfy $g_T(\mu_k) + \rho_k \mu_k = f_T(\rho_k)$ for some appropriate ρ_k .
- To control error terms $\langle I_{k,m} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}_V}$ from the interaction, we use uniform bounds on 2-body correlation functions for usual Gibbs states (Ruelle, 1970).

- A family of bounds due to (Ruelle, 1970) which allows one to uniformly control the local average (square) number of particles $\langle n_Q^2 \rangle_{T,\mu,\Omega}$ in a cube Q of side length L, for a Gibbs state in the set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. Unfortunately not quantitative in the original paper.
- One version of the bound takes the form

$$\langle n_Q^2 \rangle_{T,\mu,\Omega} \leq |Q|^2 C_T e^{\frac{\mu}{T}} \left(1 + e^{\frac{d\mu}{T_{\varepsilon}}}\right),$$

for all sufficiently large cubes Q, where $\varepsilon = \min(1, s - d)/2$, and C_T depends only on T and the interaction w.

• Alternatively, in the presence of an external potential V, which is bounded from below by a constant $-\mu_0$,

$$\langle n_Q^2 \rangle_{T,V} \leq C_T |Q| \int_Q e^{-\frac{1}{T}V(x)} \mathrm{d}x \Big(1 + e^{\frac{d\mu_0}{\varepsilon T}}\Big).$$

Elements of the proof

References

[Car+17]	Carlier, G. et al. "Convergence of entropic schemes for optimal transport and gradient flows". In: SIAM J. Math. Anal. 49.2 (2017), pp. 1385–1418.
[CC84]	Chayes, J. T. and Chayes, L. "On the validity of the inverse conjecture in classical density functional theory". In: J. Statist. Phys. 36.3-4 (1984), pp. 471–488.
[CCL84]	Chayes, J. T., Chayes, L., and Lieb, E. H. "The inverse problem in classical statistical mechanics". In: Comm. Math. Phys. 93.1 (1984), pp. 57–121.
[CDMS19]	Colombo, M., Di Marino, S., and Stra, F. "Continuity of multimarginal optimal transport with repulsive cost". In: SIAM J. Math. Anal. 51.4 (2019), pp. 2903–2926.
[FLW22]	Frank, R., Laptev, A., and Weidl, T. Schrödinger operators: Eigenvalues and Lieb-Thirring inequalities. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2022.
[Guz75]	Guzmán, M. de. Differentiation of integrals in R ⁿ . Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 481. With appendices by Antonio Córdoba, and Robert Fefferman, and two by Roberto Moriyón. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975, pp. xii-J266.
[JKT22]	Jansen, S., Kuna, T., and Tsagkarogiannis, D. "Virial inversion and density functionals". In: J. Funct. Anal. (2022). online first. arXiv: 1906.02322 [math-ph].
[JLM23a]	Jex, M., Lewin, M., and Madsen, P. "Classical Density Functional Theory: Representability and Universal Bounds". In: J. Stat. Phys. 190 (2023), p. 23. arXiv: 2210.07785.
[JLM23b]	Jex, M., Lewin, M., and Madsen, P. "Classical Density Functional Theory: The Local Density Approximation". In: arXiv e-prints (2023). arXiv: 2310.18028.
[LLS18]	Lewin, M., Lieb, E. H., and Seiringer, R. "Statistical mechanics of the Uniform Electron Gas". In: J. Éc. polytech. Math. 5 (2018), pp. 79–116. arXiv: 1705.10676 [math-ph].
[LLS20]	Lewin, M., Lieb, E. H., and Seiringer, R. "The Local Density Approximation in Density Functional Theory". In: Pure Appl. Anal. 2.1 (2020), pp. 35–73. arXiv: 1903.04046.
[Mie20]	Mietzsch, N. "The validity of the local density approximation for smooth short range interaction potentials". In: J. Math. Phys. 61.11 (2020), p. 113503. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0012228.
[Per76]	Percus, J. K. "Equilibrium state of a classical fluid of hard rods in an external field". In: J. Statist. Phys. 15.6 (1976), pp. 505–511.
[Rue70]	Ruelle, D. "Superstable interactions in classical statistical mechanics". In: Comm. Math. Phys. 18.2 (1970), pp. 127–159.
[Rue99]	Ruelle, D. Statistical mechanics. Rigorous results. Singapore: World Scientific. London: Imperial College Press, 1999.