Classical density functional theory: Universal bounds and local density approximation

Peter Skovlund Madsen

Jointly w. Mathieu Lewin and Michal Jex

Mathematisches Institut, LMU

IST Austria, June 14, 2024

- 2 [Representability and bounds](#page-6-0)
- **3** [Thermodynamic limits](#page-14-0)
- ⁴ [The \(grand-canonical\) local density approximation](#page-16-0)
- ⁵ [Elements of the proof](#page-18-0)

The setting

We consider a system of identical classical particles in $\Lambda\subseteq\mathbb{R}^d$, interacting through a pair potential w. The (canonical) free energy at temperature $T > 0$ of N particles distributed according to a (symmetric) probability distribution \mathbb{P}_N on Λ^N is given by

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{P}_N) = \int_{\Lambda^N} \sum_{j < k}^N w(x_j - x_k) \, d\mathbb{P}_N(x) + \mathcal{T} \underbrace{\int_{\Lambda^N} \log(N! \, \mathbb{P}_N(x)) \, d\mathbb{P}_N(x)}_{=: -S_N(\mathbb{P}_N)}.
$$

The minimal free energy at fixed density ρ with $\int \rho = N$ is

$$
\textstyle \digamma_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] = \inf_{\rho_{\mathbb{P}_\mathcal{N}} = \rho} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{P}_\mathcal{N}) = \inf_{\rho_{\mathbb{P}_\mathcal{N}} = \rho} \biggl\{ \int_{\Lambda^N} \sum_{j < k}^N w(x_j - x_k) + \mathcal{T} \log(N! \, \mathbb{P}_\mathcal{N}(x)) \, d\mathbb{P}_\mathcal{N}(x) \biggr\}.
$$

In the grand-canonical setting, the distribution of the particles is described by a family $\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{P}_n)_{n>0}$, where each \mathbb{P}_n is a symmetric measure on Λ^n , normalized such that $\sum_{n\geq 0}\mathbb{P}_n(\Lambda^n)=1.$ Minimal grand-canonical free energy at fixed density:

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] = \inf_{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}=\rho} \bigg\{ \sum_{n\geq 0} \int_{\Lambda^n} \sum_{j
$$

where

$$
\rho_{\mathbb{P}}(x) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \rho_{\mathbb{P}_n}(x) = \sum_{n\geq 1} n \int_{\Lambda^{n-1}} \mathbb{P}_n(x, x_2, \ldots, x_n) dx_2 \cdots dx_n.
$$

For any external potential V , we have the two-step minimization:

$$
G_T(V,\Lambda) = \inf_{\mathbb{P}} \left\{ \sum_{n\geq 0} \int_{\Lambda^n} \sum_{j=1}^n V(x_j) + \sum_{j
=
$$
\inf_{\rho} \left\{ \inf_{\rho_{\mathbb{P}} = \rho} \left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \int_{\Lambda^n} \sum_{j
=
$$
\inf_{\rho} \left\{ G_T[\rho] + \int_{\Lambda} V \rho \right\}.
$$
$$
$$

The inverse problem (Legendre-Fenchel duality): Given a density ρ , if one can find a potential $V(x)$ such that

$$
\rho(x_1) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{T}V(x_1)}}{Z_{T,V,\mathbb{R}^d}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(n-1)}} e^{-\frac{1}{T}(\sum_{j < k} w(x_j - x_k) + \sum_{j \geq 2} V(x_j))} dx_2 \cdots dx_n,
$$

then

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] = G_{\mathcal{T}}(V,\mathbb{R}^d) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V \rho.
$$

(Chayes and Chayes, [1984;](#page-20-1) Chayes, Chayes, and Lieb, [1984\)](#page-20-2). Solved explicitly in the 1D hard-core case (Percus, [1976\)](#page-20-3). Uniformly small densities (Jansen, Kuna, and Tsagkarogiannis, [2022\)](#page-20-4).

Natural questions:

- Representability: Given a density $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, when are $G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho]$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho]$ finite? Which densities arise as the one-particle density of some many-body state with finite energy?
- Can $G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho]$ and $F_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho]$ be bounded in terms of ρ ? Difficulty: Construction of states with densities exactly equal to a prescribed $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
- How can $G_T[\rho]$ and $F_T[\rho]$ be approximated in practice?

Initial observations:

Any canonical state is also a grand-canonical state, so

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho]\leq F_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho]
$$

whenever ρ has integer mass.

If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho = N + t$ with $t \in (0,1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write $\rho = (1-t) \frac{N}{N+t} \rho + t \frac{N+1}{N+t} \rho$ and obtain after using the concavity of the entropy

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] \leq (1-t) \, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}\left[\frac{N}{N+t} \rho\right] + t \, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}\left[\frac{N+1}{N+t} \rho\right].
$$

Weak interactions $(w\in L^1(\R^d)).$ Using an uncorrelated state $\mathbb{P}=(\rho/N)^{\otimes N}$ immediately gives

$$
F_T[\rho] \leq \frac{1-1/N}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} w(x-y)\rho(x)\rho(y) dx dy + T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho
$$

$$
\leq \frac{||w_+||_{L^1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 + T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho.
$$

The interaction potential

Assumption (A)

Let $w : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a lower semi-continuous and even function satisfying for some $\kappa > 0$: \bullet w is stable, that is,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} w(x_j - x_k) \geq -\kappa N
$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}^d$;

• w is upper and lower regular, that is, there exist $0 \le \alpha \le \infty$ and $s > d$ such that

 $1₅$

$$
\frac{1(|x|<1)}{\kappa|x|^{\alpha}}-\frac{\kappa}{1+|x|^s}\leq w(x)\leq \frac{\kappa 1(|x|<1)}{|x|^{\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa}{1+|x|^s}.
$$

- α determines the repulsive strength of w near the origin. When $\alpha < d$, w is integrable on \mathbb{R}^d . When $\alpha \geq d$, w has a non-integrable singularity at the origin. In this case, for any state with finite energy, the particles cannot be too close to each other and must be heavily correlated.
- When $\int \rho |\log \rho| < \infty$, stability of w implies

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] \geq -\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho - \mathcal{T} \max_{\rho_{\mathbb{P}}=\rho} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}) = -(\kappa + \mathcal{T}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho + \mathcal{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho,
$$

by taking $\mathbb P$ to be the Poisson state $\mathbb P=\big(\frac{e^{-\int \rho}}{e^{-\int \rho}}\big)$ $\frac{1}{n!} \rho^{\otimes n}$ n≥0 .

Representability and bounds in one dimension

Theorem $(d = 1)$

Suppose $1\leq \alpha<\infty.$ Then for any density $0\leq \rho\in L^1(\R)$ with $\int_\R\rho\in\mathbb N$ and $\mathcal T\int_\R\rho|{\log\rho}|<\infty,$

$$
\begin{aligned} F_T[\rho] &\leq C\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^2 + CT \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho + T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho \log \rho \\ &+ \begin{cases} C\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^{1+\alpha} & \text{for } \alpha > 1, \\ C\kappa \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho^2 (\log \rho)_+ \right) & \text{for } \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Draw a one dimensional chess board.

Using the same approach in any dimension $d\geq 1$, cutting \R^d into slices $L_j\times\R^{d-1}$, gives the following:

Corollary (Representability in any dimension)

For any density $\rho\in L^1(\R^d)$ with $\mathcal T\int\rho|{\log\rho}|<\infty$, we have $G_\mathcal T[\rho]<\infty$, and when $\int\rho\in\mathbb N$, we have $F_T[\rho] < \infty$.

If w is a hard-core potential, the question of representability is highly non-trivial, and classifying the set of representable densities in this case is an open problem.

П

Grand canonical bounds

Theorem (Jex-Lewin-M. 2023)

Suppose that $d\leq\alpha<\infty$, and assume that $0\leq\rho\in L^1(\R^d)$ satisfies $\mathcal T\int_{\R^d}\rho|\log\rho|<\infty.$ Then

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] \leq C\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 + C\mathcal{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho + \mathcal{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho
$$

+
$$
\begin{cases} C\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{1+\frac{\alpha}{d}} & \text{for } \alpha > d, \\ C\kappa \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 (\log \rho)_+ \right) & \text{for } \alpha = d. \end{cases}
$$

Here the constant C depends only on the dimension d and the powers α , s.

Outline of proof:

- If $\int \rho \leq 1$, we take $\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{P}_n)$ defined by $\mathbb{P}_0 = 1 \int \rho$, $\mathbb{P}_1 = \rho$, $\mathbb{P}_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.
- Suppose ρ is compactly supported with $\int \rho > 1$, and fix $c > 0$ sufficiently small. For each $x \in \text{supp } \rho$, define $\ell(x)$ to be the largest number such that

$$
\int_{x+\ell(x)\mathcal{C}} \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = c,
$$

where $C = (-1/2; 1/2)^d$ is the unit cube.

Lemma (Besicovitch covering with minimal distance (Frank, Laptev, and Weidl, [2022;](#page-20-5) Guzmán, [1975\)](#page-20-6))

There exists a set of points $x_j^{(k)}$ with $1 \leq k \leq K \leq 3^d (4^d + 1)$ and $1 \leq j \leq J_k < \infty$ such that

- the cubes $\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)}) := x_j^{(k)} + \ell(x_j^{(k)})\mathcal{C}$ cover the support of ρ and each $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ is in at most 2^d such cubes,
- for every k, the cubes $\bigl(\mathcal{C}(x^{(k)}_j)\bigr)_{1\leq j\leq J_k}$ in the kth collection satisfy

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)}),\mathcal{C}(x_\ell^{(k)})\right)\geq \frac{1}{2}\min\left\{\ell(x_j^{(k)}),\ell(x_\ell^{(k)})\right\}.
$$

We obtain the following partition of unity:

$$
\mathbb{1}_{\text{supp}\,\rho}=\sum_{k=1}^K\sum_{j=1}^{J_k}\frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)})\cap\text{supp}\,\rho}}{\eta},\qquad \mathbb{1}_{\text{supp}\,\rho}\leq\eta:=\sum_{k=1}^K\sum_{j=1}^{J_k}\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)})}\leq2^d.
$$

 \bullet Write ρ as a convex combination

$$
\rho = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left(\sum_{j} \rho_j^{(k)} \right), \qquad \rho_j^{(k)} := \frac{K \rho \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)})}}{\eta},
$$

where for c small.

$$
\int \rho_j^{(k)} \leq 3^d (4^d+1) \int_{\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)})} \rho = 3^d (4^d+1) c \leq 1.
$$

Define a trial state by $\mathbb{P} := \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbb{P}^{(k)}$, where

$$
\mathbb{P}^{(k)} = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{J_k} \left(\left(1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_j^{(k)} \right) \oplus \rho_j^{(k)} \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \right).
$$

Calculate the free energy, using $\frac{1}{\ell(x)^\alpha}\leq c^{-\frac{\alpha+d}{d}}\int_{\mathcal{C}(x)}\rho^{1+\frac{\alpha}{d}}$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ (exercise).

It is very difficult to control the mass contained in each collection $(\mathcal{C}(x_j^{(k)}))_{1\leq j\leq J_k}$, making the approach unsuitable to use in the canonical case.

П

Canonical bounds

Theorem (Jex-Lewin-M. '23)

Suppose $d\leq\alpha<\infty.$ Let $0\leq\rho\in L^1(\R^d)$ with $2\leq\int_{\R^d}\rho\in\mathbb N,$ and ${\mathcal T}\int_{\R^d}\rho|\log\rho|<\infty.$ Then we have

$$
F_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] \leq C(\kappa + \mathcal{T}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 + C \mathcal{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho + \mathcal{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho + \mathcal{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log R^d
$$

$$
+ \begin{cases} C\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^{1+\frac{\alpha}{d}} & \text{for } \alpha > d, \\ C\kappa \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho^2 (\log \rho)_+ \right) & \text{for } \alpha = d, \end{cases}
$$

where the constant C only depends on the dimension d and the powers α , s.

When $\int_{\R^d}\rho(y)\,{\rm d}y>1$, we define the local radius $R(x),\,x\in\R^d$ to be the largest number satisfying

$$
\int_{B(x,R(x))}\rho(y)\,\mathrm{d}y=1.
$$

We conjecture that the bound holds without the non-local term $\int \rho \log R^d$.

The local radius and optimal transport

- **•** The function R is 1-Lipschitz continuous with min_{x∈Rd} $R(x) > 0$, and $R(x) \sim |x|$ as $|x| \to \infty$.
- Connection to the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function:

$$
\frac{1}{|B_1|R(x)^d} = \frac{1}{|B_{R(x)}|} \int_{B(x, R(x))} \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \le \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B(x, r)} \rho(y) \, \mathrm{d}y =: M_\rho(x).
$$

• Recall the Hardy-Littlewood maximal inequality: $||M_{\rho}||_{\rho} \leq C_{d,\rho} ||\rho||_{\rho}$ for $p > 1$.

Theorem (Optimal transport state (Colombo, Di Marino, and Stra, [2019\)](#page-20-7))

Let $0\leq \rho\in L^1(\R^d)$ with $N=\int_{\R^d}\rho\in \mathbb{N}.$ There exists an N-particle state \mathbb{P}_{OT} with density $\rho_{\mathbb{P}_{\Omega T}} = \rho$ such that

$$
|x_i - x_j| \geq \max\left(\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} R(x), \frac{R(x_i) + R(x_j)}{3}\right) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \neq j \leq N
$$

 \mathbb{P}_{OT} -almost everywhere.

The state \mathbb{P}_{OT} emerges as the optimizer for a multi-marginal optimal transport problem. The proof is non-constructive and relies on Kantorovich duality. \mathbb{P}_{OT} is usually singular with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

The interaction energy of \mathbb{P}_{OT}

• Fix $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_N)$ in the support of \mathbb{P}_{OT} with $R(x_1) \le R(x_2) \le \cdots \le R(x_N)$. Then

$$
\sum_{j=i+1}^N \frac{1}{|{\sf x}_i-{\sf x}_j|^\alpha}\leq \frac{1}{|B(0,\frac{1}{6}R({\sf x}_i))|}\int_{B(0,\frac{1}{3}R({\sf x}_i))^c}\frac{1}{|{\sf y}|^\alpha}\,{\rm d}{\sf y}= \mathcal{C}\frac{1}{R({\sf x}_i)^\alpha}.
$$

• Calculating the interaction energy in the state \mathbb{P}_{OT} , one finds (for $\alpha > d$)

$$
F_0[\rho] \leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=i+1}^N \left(\frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{1 + |x_i - x_j|^s} \right) d\mathbb{P}_{OT}(x)
$$

$$
\leq C\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{R(x_i)^{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{R(x_i)^d} d\mathbb{P}_{OT}(x)
$$

$$
= C\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\rho(x)}{R(x)^{\alpha}} + \frac{\rho(x)}{R(x)^d} dx
$$

$$
\leq \widetilde{C}\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(x)^{1 + \frac{\alpha}{d}} + \rho(x)^2 dx.
$$

 \bullet To handle positive temperature, \mathbb{P}_{OT} needs to be regularized. This can be done using the Besicovitch covering lemma and the block approximation (Carlier et al., [2017\)](#page-20-8), which locally replaces \mathbb{P}_{OT} with a pure tensor, while keeping the density fixed.

The (grand-canonical) local density approximation

The minimal free energy $G_T[\rho]$ at density ρ is approximated using a local functional,

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\rho(x)) \, \mathrm{d} x.
$$

The function $f(\rho_0)$ is typically the free energy per unit volume of an infinite homogeneous system at density ρ_0 .

Previous results:

- Classical uniform electron gas with Coulomb interaction in 3D (Lewin, Lieb, and Seiringer, [2018\)](#page-20-9).
- Quantum systems with Coulomb interactions in 3D (Lewin, Lieb, and Seiringer, [2020\)](#page-20-10).
- Extension of the quantum case to a class of smooth short-range interactions (Mietzsch, [2020\)](#page-20-11).

All previous results rely on the Graf-Schenker inequality/screening properties of the Coulomb potential. All exclusively 3D and grand-canonical.

Thermodynamic limits

Usual thermodynamic limit: For any $\rho_0 > 0$ and reasonable sequence of domains $\Lambda_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\Lambda_n\nearrow \mathbb{R}^d$, the thermodynamic limit exists,

$$
f_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho_0) := \lim_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ n \mid \Lambda_n \mid^{-1} \to \rho_0}} \frac{F_{\mathcal{T}}(n, \Lambda_n)}{|\Lambda_n|},
$$

and is independent of the sequence Λ_n . Here, $F_{\tau}(n, \Lambda_n)$ is the minimal canonical free energy of an n-particle system in Λ_n , without restrictions on the density. The limit function f_T is known to be convex and C^1 (Ruelle, [1970,](#page-20-12) [1999\)](#page-20-13).

Proposition (Jex-Lewin-M. 202?)

Let $\rho_0>0$. Suppose that $\Lambda_n\subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a sequence of bounded connected domains with sufficiently regular boundaries, and such that $|\Lambda_n| \to \infty$. Then we have

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{G_T[\rho_01\!\!1_{\Lambda_n}]}{|\Lambda_n|}=f_T(\rho_0).
$$

Futhermore, if $\rho_0|\Lambda_n| \in \mathbb{N}$ for all n, then

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{F_T[\rho_01_{\Lambda_n}]}{|\Lambda_n|}=f_T(\rho_0).
$$

Clearly, $F_T[\rho_01_{\Lambda_n}]\geq F_T(\rho_0|\Lambda_n|,\Lambda_n)$. The lower bound on $G_T[\rho_01_{\Lambda_n}]$ follows from Legendre duality (equivalence of ensembles). The upper bounds are proved by construction of a trial state in the spirit of the floating Wigner crystal.

Bounds on f_T

Proposition

Let w satisfy Assumption (A). There are constants $C, c > 0$ depending only on w and the dimension d, such that for any $\rho > 0$, we have

$$
f_T(\rho) \leq \begin{cases} C\rho^{\max(2,1+\alpha/d)} + C(1+T)\rho + T\rho\log\rho, & \alpha \neq d, \\ C\rho^2(\log\rho)_+ + C(1+T)\rho + T\rho\log\rho, & \alpha = d, \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
f_T(\rho) \geq \begin{cases} c\rho^{\max(2,1+\alpha/d)} - (C+T)\rho + T\rho\log\rho, & \alpha \neq d, \\ c\rho^2(\log c\rho)_+ - (C+T)\rho + T\rho\log\rho, & \alpha = d. \end{cases}
$$

Let $M>0$, and consider $G_T[\rho]$ for the class of densities $0\leq \rho\in (L^1\cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $||\rho||_{\infty} \leq M$. The universal upper bound simplifies

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho] \leq C(M, T, w, d) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho + T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho \log \rho.
$$

For any density $\rho\in L^1(\R^d)$ and $\ell>0$, we denote $\mathcal{C}_\ell:=[-\ell/2,\ell/2]^d$ is the cube of side length ℓ , and

$$
\delta \rho_{\ell}(z) := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x,y \in z + C_{\ell}} \frac{|\rho(x) - \rho(y)|}{\ell}.
$$

When $\rho = \rho_0 \mathbb{1}_\Lambda$, then $\delta \rho_\ell = 0$ everywhere, except at distance of order ℓ to the boundary $\partial \Lambda$, where it is bounded by ρ_0/ℓ .

The local density approximation

Theorem (Jex-Lewin-M. 202?)

Let
$$
M > 0
$$
, $T \ge 0$, $p \ge 1$, and $b > \begin{cases} 2 - \frac{1}{2p} & \text{if } p \ge 2, \\ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2p} & \text{if } 1 \le p < 2. \end{cases}$

Let w be a short-range interaction satisfying Assumption (A) with $s > d+1$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending on M, T, w, d, p, b, such that

$$
\left|G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho]-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}f_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho(x))\,\mathrm{d} x\right|\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\ell}}\biggl(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sqrt{\rho}+\ell^\mathsf{bp}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\delta\rho_\ell(z)^\mathsf{p}\,\mathrm{d} z\biggr),
$$

for any $\ell > 0$, and any density $\rho \geq 0$ such that $\sqrt{\rho} \in (L^1 \cap L^{\infty})(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\|\rho\|_{\infty} \leq M$.

- Bounds on $G_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $f_{\mathcal{T}}$ ensure that the left hand side is finite. $\,$ Note that $\int\rho\vert\log\rho\vert\,\leq\,$ $\|\sqrt{\rho}\log\rho\|_{\infty} \int \sqrt{\rho}$.
- Valid for all densities satisfying the conditions, but only useful for slowly varying densities.
- The constant C increases exponentially with M, and with the growth rate α of w near the origin.
- One could expect a similar estimate to hold without the constraint on $\|\rho\|_{\infty}$, where $\sqrt{\rho}$ is replaced by

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho + \rho^{\max(2, 1 + \alpha/d)} + \mathcal{T} \rho(\log \rho) - .
$$

When ρ has additional regularity, we have:

 $\overline{}$ \mathbf{I} $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$

Corollary

Suppose, in addition to the assumptions from before, that $p>d$ and $\nabla\rho\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d).$ Then we have

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho]-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}f_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho(x))\,\mathrm{d} x\bigg|\leq C\varepsilon\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sqrt{\rho}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2bp}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\rho|^p\right)
$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

This is a straightforward application of Morrey's inequality, which states for $p > d$ that

$$
|\rho(x)-\rho(y)|\leq K^{\frac{1}{p}}|x-y|^{1-\frac{d}{p}}\Bigl(\int_Q|\nabla\rho|^p\Bigr)^{\frac{1}{p}},\qquad x,y\in Q,
$$

for any cube Q.

Taking a smooth ρ and rescaling $\rho_N(x) := \rho(N^{-1/d}x)$ yields

$$
G_T[\rho_N] = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_T(\rho(x)) \, \mathrm{d}x + O\left(N^{1-\frac{1}{2bd}}\right)
$$

for all $b > 7/4$.

Elements of the proof (lower bound)

Recall the equivalence of ensembles for the usual thermodynamic limit,

$$
f_{\mathcal{T}}(\rho_0)=\sup_{\mu\in\mathbb{R}}\bigl(g_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu)+\mu\rho_0\bigr),
$$

where $g_T(\mu)$ is the grand canonical energy per unit volume at chemical potential $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ for an infinite system.

Introduce an external potential $V(x) = -\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mu_k(x) \mathbb{1}_{C_k}(x)$. Then

$$
G_{\mathcal{T}}[\rho]+\int V\rho\geq \inf_{\mathbb{P}=\left(\mathbb{P}_n\right)}\Bigl\{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{P})+\sum_{n\geq 1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{dn}}\sum_{i=1}^n V(x_i)\,\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_n(x)\Bigr\}=G_{\mathcal{T}}(V,\mathbb{R}^d).
$$

The minimizer for the right hand side is a Gibbs state \mathbb{P}_V .

• Localize into cubes,

$$
G_T(V,\mathbb{R}^d) \geq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} G_T(-\mu_k,C_k) + \sum_{\substack{k,m \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ k \neq m}} \langle I_{k,m} \rangle_{\mathbb{P}_V},
$$

where $\langle I_{k,m}\rangle_{\mathbb{P}_V} = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathcal{C}_k \times \mathcal{C}_m} w(x-y) \rho_{\mathbb{P}_V}^{(2)}(x,y) \,dx\,dy.$

- If μ_k is constant in C_k , then $G_{\mathcal{T}}(-\mu_k, C_k) \approx \ell^d g_{\mathcal{T}}(\mu_k)$. Choose μ_k to satisfy $g_T(\mu_k) + \rho_k \mu_k = f_T(\rho_k)$ for some appropriate ρ_k .
- To control error terms $\langle I_{k,m}\rangle_{\mathbb{P}_V}$ from the interaction, we use uniform bounds on 2-body correlation functions for usual Gibbs states (Ruelle, [1970\)](#page-20-12).
- A family of bounds due to (Ruelle, [1970\)](#page-20-12) which allows one to uniformly control the local average (square) number of particles $\langle n_Q^2\rangle_{\overline{I},\mu,\Omega}$ in a cube Q of side length L, for a Gibbs state in the set $\Omega\subseteq \mathbb{R}^d.$ Unfortunately not quantitative in the original paper.
- One version of the bound takes the form

$$
\left\langle n_Q^2 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{T},\mu,\Omega} \leq |Q|^2 C_{\mathcal{T}} e^{\frac{\mu}{\mathcal{T}}} \left(1 + e^{\frac{d\mu}{\mathcal{T}\varepsilon}} \right),
$$

for all sufficiently large cubes Q, where $\varepsilon = \min(1, s - d)/2$, and C_T depends only on T and the interaction w.

• Alternatively, in the presence of an external potential V , which is bounded from below by a constant $-\mu_0$,

$$
\left\langle n_Q^2 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{T}, V} \leq C_{\mathcal{T}} |Q| \int_Q e^{-\frac{1}{\mathcal{T}} V(x)} \, \mathrm{d} x \Big(1 + e^{\frac{d\mu_Q}{\varepsilon \mathcal{T}}} \Big).
$$

[Elements of the proof](#page-18-0)

References

Anal. 49.2 (2017), pp. 1385–1418. [CC84] Chayes, J. T. and Chayes, L. "On the validity of the inverse conjecture in classical density functional theory". In: J. Statist. Phys. 36.3-4 (1984), pp. 471–488. [CCL84] Chayes, J. T., Chayes, L., and Lieb, E. H. "The inverse problem in classical statistical mechanics". In: Comm. Math. Phys. 93.1 (1984), pp. 57–121. [CDMS19] Colombo, M., Di Marino, S., and Stra, F. "Continuity of multimarginal optimal transport with repulsive cost". In: SIAM J. Math. Anal. 51.4 (2019), pp. 2903–2926. [FLW22] Frank, R., Laptev, A., and Weidl, T. Schrödinger operators: Eigenvalues and Lieb-Thirring inequalities. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2022. [Guz75] Guzmán, M. de. *Differentiation of integrals in Rⁿ.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 481. With appendices by Antonio Córdoba, and Robert Fefferman, and two by Roberto Moriyón. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975, pp. xii+266. [JKT22] Jansen, S., Kuna, T., and Tsagkarogiannis, D. "Virial inversion and density functionals". In: J. Funct. Anal. (2022). online first. arXiv: [1906.02322 \[math-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.02322). [JLM23a] Jex, M., Lewin, M., and Madsen, P. "Classical Density Functional Theory: Representability and Universal Bounds". In: J. Stat. Phys. 190 (2023), p. 23. arXiv: [2210.07785](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.07785). [JLM23b] Jex, M., Lewin, M., and Madsen, P. "Classical Density Functional Theory: The Local Density Approximation". In: arXiv e-prints (2023). arXiv: [2310.18028](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.18028). [LLS18] Lewin, M., Lieb, E. H., and Seiringer, R. "Statistical mechanics of the Uniform Electron Gas". In: J. Éc. polytech. Math. 5 (2018), pp. 79–116. arXiv: [1705.10676 \[math-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.10676). [LLS20] Lewin, M., Lieb, E. H., and Seiringer, R. "The Local Density Approximation in Density Functional Theory". In: Pure Appl. Anal. 2.1 (2020), pp. 35–73. arXiv: [1903.04046](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.04046). [Mie20] Mietzsch, N. "The validity of the local density approximation for smooth short range interaction potentials". In: J. Math. Phys. 61.11 (2020), p. 113503. eprint: <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0012228>. [Per76] Percus, J. K. "Equilibrium state of a classical fluid of hard rods in an external field". In: J. Statist. Phys. 15.6 (1976), pp. 505–511. [Rue70] Ruelle, D. "Superstable interactions in classical statistical mechanics". In: Comm. Math. Phys. 18.2 (1970), pp. 127– 159. [Rue99] Ruelle, D. Statistical mechanics. Rigorous results. Singapore: World Scientific. London: Imperial College Press, 1999.

[Car+17] Carlier, G. et al. "Convergence of entropic schemes for optimal transport and gradient flows". In: SIAM J. Math.